



ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM HOUSEHOLDS. 10 OUT OF 11 DISTRICTS Data has not been presented where sample size was insufficient.

School enrollment and out of school children

Table 1: % Children in different types of schools 2013								
Age group	Govt.	Pvt.	Other	Not in school	Total			
Age: 6-14 ALL	59.3	39.4	0.1	1.2	100			
Age: 7-16 ALL	59.0	38.7	0.1	2.3	100			
Age: 7-10 ALL	59.6	39.5	0.0	0.9	100			
Age: 7-10 BOYS	57.7	41.4	0.0	0.9	100			
Age: 7-10 GIRLS	61.3	37.8	0.0	0.9	100			
Age: 11-14 ALL	60.0	38.0	0.2	1.9	100			
Age: 11-14 BOYS	58.0	39.5	0.1	2.5	100			
Age: 11-14 GIRLS	61.0	37.4	0.3	1.3	100			
Age: 15-16 ALL	53.4	37.4	0.0	9.1	100			
Age: 15-16 BOYS	49.4	39.8	0.0	10.8	100			
Age: 15-16 GIRLS	58.0	34.6	0.0	7.4	100			

Note: 'Other' includes children going to madarsa and EGS. 'Not in school' = dropped out + never enrolled.





How to read this chart: Each line shows trends in the proportion of children out of school for a particular subset of children. For example, the proportion of girls (age 11-14) not in school was 6.4% in 2006, 3.2% in 2010, 2.4% in 2012 and is 1.3 % in 2013.


	e 2: Sample description hildren in each class by age 2013												
Std	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Total
1	6.6	35.6	33.7	16.0		8.1				100			
Ш	10.4	8.5	27.7	27.3	10.8	8.5		6.8				100	
III	1	.5	7.0	28.2	27.5	18.6	7.0	5.6		4.7			100
IV		1.5		7.5	22.6	31.4	14.2	13.4	6.2		3.2		100
V		2	2.4		6.1	24.3	26.5	20.5	11.6	5.3	3	3.4	100
VI			3.1			7.2	20.4	34.1	19.7	10.1	5	5.5	100
VII			3	.1		5.4 22.1 35.0 20.4 8.4 5.6			5.6	100			
VIII				5.6					29.3	36.5	18.6	10.1	100

How to read this table: If a child started school in Std I at age 6, she should be of age 8 in Std III. This table shows the age distribution for each class. For example, in Std III, 28.2% children are 8 years old but there are also 7% who are 7, 27.5% who are 9, 18.6% who are 10 and 17.3% who are older.

Young children in pre-school and school

Table 3: % Children age 3-6 who are enrolled in different types of pre-school and school 2013 In school Not in In balwadi In LKG/ school or Total UKG or preanganwadi Pvt. school Govt. Other 27.5 47.1 100 Age 3 254 Age 4 12.1 71.4 16.5 100 43.4 25.9 Age 5 8.0 26.9 0.0 3.0 100 0.2 19.6 42.7 36.0 0.0 1.5 100

Note: For 3 and 4 year old children, only pre-school status is recorded.



* Data for 2011 is not comparable to other years and therefore not included here.



Data has not been presented where sample size was insufficient.

Reading


	Table 4: % Children by class and READING level All schools 2013									
Std	Not even letter	Letter	Word	Level 1 (Std I Text)	Level 2 (Std II Text)	Total				
1	14.8	31.6	42.7	8.4	2.4	100				
П	10.2	19.6	40.3	23.1	6.9	100				
III	2.2	9.1	26.3	40.8	21.6	100				
IV	1.2	6.2	14.1	40.9	37.7	100				
V	0.4	3.1	7.2	32.9	56.4	100				
VI	0.2	1.8	6.8	30.1	61.3	100				
VII	0.0	1.7	4.9	17.0	76.5	100				
VIII	0.0	0.5	2.4	15.0	82.1	100				
Total	4.6	11.3	21.8	26.9	35.4	100				

How to read this table: Each cell shows the highest level in reading achieved by a child. For example, in Std III, 2.2% children cannot even read letters, 9.1% can read letters but not more, 26.3% can read words but not Std I level text or higher, 40.8% can read Std I level text but not Std II level text, and 21.6% can read Std II level text. For each class, the total of all these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 5: Trends over time % Children in Std III and V at different READING levels by school type 2009-2013


Year		en in Std III east Std I le		% Children in Std V who can read Std II level text			
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	
2009	41.5	69.1	49.7	54.7	74.9	61.0	
2010	42.2	71.5	51.3	41.0	76.9	53.5	
2011	52.1	67.8	57.7	48.4	71.8	59.0	
2012	42.3	71.1	52.9	42.3	68.6	52.5	
2013	54.1	78.4	62.4	51.8	63.9	56.4	

^{*} This is the weighted average of govt. and pvt. schools only.



Reading Tool

Rani is ten years old. She has a brother. They are getting ready for school. She has taken a bath and combed her hair. Her brother has kept the books in his bag. Their school is far away from the house. Both of them walk to school every day.







Para



To interpret the chart alongside (Chart 4), several things need to be kept in mind:

The highest level in the ASER reading tool is the ability to read a Std II level text. ASER is a "floor" level test. All children (age 5 to 16) are assessed using the same tool; grade-level tools are not used in ASER.

We can see that the proportion of children who can read at least ${\sf Std}\ {\sf II}$ level text increases in successive standards. This is true for every year for which data is shown.

By Std VIII, when children have completed eight years of schooling, a high proportion of children are able to read the Std II level text. It is possible that many children in Std VIII are reading at higher levels, but ASER reading tests do not assess higher than Std II level.

This chart allows us to compare proportions of children reading at least Std II level texts in different standards across years. For example, see Std V in 2009, 2011 and 2013.

ASER 2013 18



Data has not been presented where sample size was insufficient.

Arithmetic


	Table 6: % Children by class and ARITHMETIC level All schools 2013								
Std	Not even	Recognize	numbers	Can	Can	Total			
	1-9	1-9	10-99	subtract	divide				
1	14.9	21.6	57.7	5.4	0.4	100			
Ш	9.2	15.1	55.7	18.2	1.8	100			
III	2.0	6.2	50.4	36.7	4.8	100			
IV	0.7	3.8	35.0	46.6	13.9	100			
V	0.1	2.2	25.4	47.6	24.6	100			
VI	0.0	0.6	17.5	49.8	32.2	100			
VII	0.0	0.0	13.4	42.5	44.1	100			
VIII	0.2	0.0	4.7	38.2	57.0	100			
Total	4.3	7.8	37.6	33.3	17.1	100			

How to read this table: Each cell shows the highest level in arithmetic achieved by a child. For example, in Std III, 2% children cannot even recognize numbers 1-9, 6.2% can recognize numbers up to 9 but not more, 50.4% can recognize numbers up to 99 but cannot do subtraction, 36.7% can do subtraction but cannot do division, and 4.8% can do division. For each class, the total of all these exclusive categories is 100%.

Table 7: Trends over time
% Children in Std III and V who can do at least SUBTRACTION
and DIVISION respectively by school type 2009-2013

		,		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Year		en in Std III least subtra		% Children in Std V who can do division			
	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	Govt.	Pvt.	Govt. & Pvt.*	
2009	51.4	71.4	57.3	58.0	71.6	62.2	
2010	38.4	60.2	45.3	26.7	52.4	35.7	
2011	53.1	65.4	57.5	34.1	48.5	40.6	
2012	44.5	69.0	53.6	27.3	46.0	34.6	
2013	36.2	51.6	41.5	21.2	30.3	24.6	

^{*} This is the weighted average of govt. and pvt. schools only.



Number recognition 1-9	Number recognition 10-99	Subtraction	Division
2 7	76 58	74 63 _ 56 _ 34	8) 993
	69 99	47 84	-
3 5		- 29 - 35	_ 6) 758(
9 8	34 61	41 32	_
9 0		- 15 - 14	7) 865 (
	46 84		- '
4 1		36 68	
	25 68	- 18 - 49	_ 4) 658(



To interpret the chart alongside (Chart 5), several things need to be kept in mind:

The highest level in the ASER arithmetic tool is the ability to do a numerical division problem (dividing a three digit number by a one digit number). In most states in India, children are expected to do such computations by Std III or Std IV. ASER does not assess children using grade-level tools.

We can see that the proportion of children who can do this level of division increases in successive standards. This is true for every year for which data is shown.

By Std VIII, when children have completed eight years of schooling, a substantial proportion of children are able to do division problems at this level. It is possible that some children are able to do operations at higher levels too, but ASER arithmetic tests do not assess higher than this level.

This chart allows us to compare proportions of children who can do division in different standards across years. For example, see Std V in 2009, 2011 and 2013.

181 ASER 2013



Data has not been presented where sample size was insufficient.

Type of school and paid additional tuition classes (tutoring)


The ASER survey recorded information about paid additional private tutoring by asking the following question: "Does the child take any paid tuition class currently?" Therefore the numbers given below do not include any unpaid supplemental help in learning that the child may have received.


Table 8: Trends over time % Children attending PAID TUIT 2010-2013	ION CLA	SSES by	school t	type
% Children attending paid tuition classes in Std I-V	2010	2011	2012	2013
Govt. schools	7.7	11.8	9.0	6.8
Pvt. schools	31.5	37.8	39.2	30.7
All schools	15.6	22.3	20.0	15.8
% Children attending paid tuition classes in Std VI-VIII	2010	2011	2012	2013
Govt. schools	7.5	15.1	11.8	12.4
Pvt. schools	36.6	46.7	41.8	26.0
All schools	19.3	30.1	24.3	18.5



Table 9: Trends over time % Children by school type and TUITION 2010-2013								
	Category	2010	2011	2012	2013			
	Govt. no tuition	61.5	52.5	57.7	57.9			
	Govt. + Tuition	5.1	7.1	5.7	4.2			
Std I-V	Pvt. no tuition	22.8	25.1	22.3	26.3			
	Pvt. + Tuition	10.5	15.3	14.3	11.6			
	Total	100	100	100	100			
	Govt. no tuition	55.0	44.7	51.4	48.3			
	Govt. + Tuition	4.5	7.9	6.9	6.8			
Std	Pvt. no tuition	25.7	25.2	24.3	33.3			
VI-VIII	Pvt. + Tuition	14.8	22.1	17.5	11.7			
	Total	100	100	100	100			

Table 10: TUITION EXPENDITURES by school type in rupees per month 2013							
	Type of			n in differ diture cate			
	school	Rs 100 or less	Rs 101- 200	Rs 201- 300	Rs 301 or more	Total	
Std I-V	Govt.	0.5	47.0	50.2	2.4	100	
Std I-V	Pvt.	1.9	35.1	52.1	10.8	100	
Std VI-VIII	Govt.	0.4	41.9	52.2	5.5	100	
Std VI-VIII	Pvt.	0.7	19.7	64.7	14.9	100	





ASER 2013 182



ANALYSIS BASED ON DATA FROM GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS. 10 OUT OF 11 DISTRICTS Data has not been presented where sample size was insufficient.

School observations

In each sampled village, the largest government school with primary sections is visited on the day of the survey. Information about schools in this report is based on these visits.

Table 11: Number of schools visited 2010-2013							
Type of school	2010	2011	2012	2013			
Std I-IV/V: Primary	202	173	189	186			
Std I-VII/VIII: Primary + Upper primary	21	44	83	69			
Total schools visited	223	217	272	255			

Table 12: Student and teacher attendance on the day of visit 2010-2013										
Type of school	Std I-IV/V				Std I-VII/VIII					
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2010	2011	2012	2013		
% Enrolled children present (Average)	81.9	82.3	81.9	78.4	83.0	81.6	81.5	84.4		
% Teachers present (Average)	87.2	90.8	87.8	82.9	86.3	85.8	84.2	84.3		

Table 13: Small schools and multigrade classes 2010-2013									
School characteristics	Std I-IV/V				Std I-VII/VIII				
School Characteristics		2011	2012	2013	2010	2011	2012	2013	
% Schools with total enrollment of 60 or less	50.3	47.9	56.8	50.6	0.0	14.3	18.2	23.9	
% Schools where Std II children observed sitting with one or more other classes	18.7	13.0	13.4	8.7	28.6	15.0	9.9	11.6	
% Schools where Std IV children observed sitting with one or more other classes	17.5	13.3	9.9	7.9	28.6	16.7	7.8	11.8	


RTE indicators

The Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education (RTE) Act, 2009 specifies a series of norms and standards for a school. Data on selected measurable indicators of RTE are collected in ASER.

Table 14: Schools meeting selected RTE norms 2010-2013							
% School	ols meeting the following RTE norms:	2010	2011	2012	2013		
PTR & CTR	Pupil-teacher ratio (PTR)	91.9	85.5	93.0	92.3		
	Classroom-teacher ratio (CTR)	78.6	61.1	63.3	59.8		
Building	Office/store/office cum store	83.8	92.3	86.9	91.8		
	Playground	64.2	65.6	41.6	47.6		
	Boundary wall/fencing	42.8	34.5	52.9	37.0		
Drinking water	No facility for drinking water	56.9	70.3	73.7	70.6		
	Facility but no drinking water available	6.0	6.2	4.1	5.2		
	Drinking water available	37.0	23.4	22.2	24.2		
	Total	100	100	100	100		
Toilet	No toilet facility	13.8	6.2	6.8	8.3		
	Facility but toilet not useable	32.3	33.8	40.7	28.5		
	Toilet useable	53.9	60.0	52.5	63.2		
	Total	100	100	100	100		
Girls' toilet	No separate provision for girls' toilet	47.8	22.0	40.7	38.0		
	Separate provision but locked	9.4	18.4	16.8	17.4		
	Separate provision, unlocked but not useable	12.2	9.9	9.7	8.2		
	Separate provision, unlocked and useable	30.6	49.7	32.7	36.4		
	Total	100	100	100	100		
Library	No library	86.7	91.0	87.8	66.8		
	Library but no books being used by children on day of visit	4.1	5.7	8.2	21.7		
	Library books being used by children on day of visit	9.2	3.3	4.1	11.5		
	Total	100	100	100	100		
Mid-day	Kitchen shed for cooking mid-day meal	81.7	91.8	85.3	87.0		
meal	Mid-day meal served in school on day of visit	31.9	43.4	38.2	28.1		



In each visited school, we asked a teacher/HM a few questions about Continuous & Comprehensive Evaluation (CCE).



ASER 2013